**UW EXTENSION**

University Extension Educator

Employee Performance Appraisal Instrument

Name of Employee:

Position:

Location:

Evaluator’s Name:

Completion Date:

Attachments to be provided by employee:

1. Job Description - required
2. Annual Statement of Goals – required
   * Includes a diversity and inclusion plan
   * Includes a professional development plan
3. Annual Summary using template from ET&P material - required
4. Impact Statement(s) – required
5. *One to Three Page Narrative - optional*

**Criteria for the 5 Levels of Performance Rating**

Significantly Below Expectations (1)

Performance is significantly below expectations for the position and rank. Inadequate progress has been shown during the last evaluation period. Improvement to meet expectations is unlikely in a reasonable time frame.

Below Expectations (2)

Performance is below the minimum acceptable expectations for the position and rank. There is expectation of improvement within a reasonable time frame (as determined by supervisor and employee).

Meets Expectations (3)

Performance is at or slightly above the expectations for the position and rank. This level is what one would expect from most competent, experienced employees. The employee completes the job requirements as defined in the position description and annual goals in a manner which exemplifies the high standards expected for UWE work.

Exceeds Expectations (4)

Performance consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. The employee's performance goes beyond the basics of the position description in terms of quality, quantity, and/or creativity and innovation.

Significantly Exceeds Expectations (5)

Performance significantly and consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. The employee's performance is a model for other people in similar positions and is comparable to the best in the country in terms of quality, quantity, and/or creativity and innovation.

**\*\*\* Components On Which All Employees Are Evaluated \*\*\***

**1. Performance (Output) and Accomplishments (Outcomes and Impacts):** This section evaluates the performance and accomplishments as outlined in the job description and annual goal statement.

Significantly Below Expectations - Performance is significantly below expectations for the position and rank. Inadequate progress has been shown during the last evaluation period. Improvement to meet expectations is unlikely in a reasonable time frame.

Below Expectations **-** Performance is below the minimum acceptable expectations for the position and rank. There is expectation of improvement within a reasonable time frame (as determined by supervisor and employee).

Meets Expectations - Performance is at or slightly above the expectations for the position and rank. This level is what one would expect from most competent, experienced employees. The employee completes requirements as defined in the job description and annual goals in a manner which exemplifies the high standards expected for UWE work. The employee must: Develop annual goals based upon clientele needs; provide program planning, implementation and evaluation according to their job description, and annual goals; evaluate programs; report program impacts.

Exceeds Expectations - Performance consistently exceeds expectations as outlined in job description, annual goal statement and by rank in terms of quality, quantity, creativity or innovation. Performance must go beyond expectations, for example, evaluating clientele needs, program planning, evaluating programs, and reporting program impact(s).

Significantly Exceeds Expectations - Performance significantly and consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. Performance must go beyond exceeds expectations, for example, providing creative, innovative and forward-thinking programming. The employee's performance is a model for other people in similar positions and is comparable to the best in the country in terms of quality and quantity.

Evaluative comments:

Suggestions for development:

Rating \_\_\_\_\_; Weighting 40%; Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Customer Service:** This section evaluates how effectively the employee represents the University and the UWE to all clientele; understands and constructively responds to customer needs; develops effective relationships with the variety of publics as per job description.

Significantly Below Expectations - Performance is significantly below expectations for the position and rank. Inadequate progress has been shown during the last evaluation period. Improvement to meet expectations is unlikely in a reasonable time frame.

Below Expectations **-** Performance is below the minimum acceptable expectations for the position and rank. There is expectation of improvement within a reasonable time frame (as determined by supervisor and employee).

Meets Expectations – Performance is at or slightly above the expectations for the position and rank. This level is what one would expect from most competent, experienced employees. Employee effectively responds to clientele requests in a cordial and timely manner; makes referrals to appropriate resources; demonstrates courtesy and respect toward clientele; works well with related agencies and diverse constituencies.

Exceeds Expectations - Performance consistently exceeds expectations in terms of quality, quantity, creativity or innovation. Performance must go beyond expectations, for example, actively seeks to identify new clientele and address their needs.

Significantly Exceeds Expectations - Performance significantly and consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. Performance must go beyond exceeds expectations, for example, clearly position UWE as a premier resource for research-based information and educational programming. The employee's performance is a model for other people in similar positions and is comparable to the best in the country in terms of quality and quantity.

Evaluative comments:

Suggestions for development:

Rating \_\_\_\_\_; Weighting 20%; Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Teamwork:** This section evaluates how effectively the employee participates in various teams across UWE, which may include but are not limited to county, area, State Issue, and State Initiative Teams.

Significantly Below Expectations - Performance is significantly below expectations for the position and rank. Inadequate progress has been shown during the last evaluation period. Improvement to meet expectations is unlikely in a reasonable time frame.

Below Expectations **-** Performance is below the minimum acceptable expectations for the position and rank. There is expectation of improvement within a reasonable time frame (as determined by supervisor and employee).

Meets Expectations - Performance is at or slightly above the expectations for the position and rank. This level is what one would expect from most competent, experienced employees. The employee effectively attends, participates, and contributes to team meetings; shares information and resources with others; gives timely responses to requests and deadlines; interacts well with all individuals; establishes and maintains effective working relationships; promotes teamwork and values the group's efforts; demonstrates effective partnering (cooperation, collaboration, coalition); participates in resource development; demonstrates a "we" vs. "I" attitude.

Exceeds Expectations - Performance consistently exceeds expectations in terms of quality, quantity, creativity or innovation. Performance must go beyond expectations, for example, substantially contributes to team goals; acknowledges contributions of co-workers and clientele; includes stake holders and fellow staff members in programs; participates in resource development.

Significantly Exceeds Expectations - Performance significantly and consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. Performance must go beyond exceeds expectations, for example, developing leadership skills and abilities among co-workers and clientele; plays a crucial role in team resource development. The employee's performance is a model for other people in similar positions and is comparable to the best in the country in terms of quality and quantity.

Evaluative comments:

Suggestions for development:

Rating \_\_\_\_\_; Weighting 20%; Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_

**4. Communication and Teaching--Written and Oral: This section evaluates how e**ffectively the employee conveys ideas, information, and/or instructions to others.

Significantly Below Expectations - Performance is significantly below expectations for the position and rank. Inadequate progress has been shown during the last evaluation period. Improvement to meet expectations is unlikely in a reasonable time frame.

Below Expectations **-** Performance is below the minimum acceptable expectations for the position and rank. There is expectation of improvement within a reasonable time frame (as determined by supervisor and employee).

Meets Expectations - Performance is at or slightly above the expectations for the position and rank. This level is what one would expect from most competent, experienced employees. The employee effectively teaches in accordance with job description; evaluates teaching effectiveness; promotes open communication; demonstrates active listening; delivers appropriate educational programs within the assigned area.

Exceeds Expectations - Performance consistently exceeds expectations in terms of quality, quantity, creativity or innovation. Performance must go beyond expectations, for example, effectively uses a variety of appropriate methods; adapts teaching method to clientele learning styles, evaluations show impact, appropriate follow-up of educational efforts.

Significantly Exceeds Expectations - Performance significantly and consistently exceeds expectations for the position and rank. Performance must go beyond exceeds expectations, for example, participates as an educator on a multi-area, state, regional or national level; uses innovative teaching methods; reaches new audiences; shares impacts with stakeholders. The employee's performance is a model for other people in similar positions and is comparable to the best in the country in terms of quality and quantity.

Evaluative comments:

Suggestions for development:

Rating \_\_\_\_\_; Weighting 20%; Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_

**\*\*\* Coaching Components \*\*\***

* **Commitment to Diversity and Civil Rights:** Demonstrates a respect for the value of individuals regardless of their background or culture; contributes to processes that create and support a diverse environment; considers the degree and overall inclusion of civil rights objectives in the planning, execution, and evaluation of clientele participation in the individual's programs.
* **Decision-Making:** Identifies problems, issues and opportunities; gathers facts and analyzes causes; evaluates alternative solutions; takes or recommends action; follows up to ensure problem resolution.
* **Marketing and Collaborations:** Provides leadership and/or assists in developing and implementing an extension public relations program/marketing plan for diverse audiences; develops coalitions and collaborations with appropriate external agencies, organizations, and publics; interacts with county, state, and federal officials to establish and develop an effective working relationship.
* **Professionalism:** Displays enthusiasm and dedication regarding position responsibilities and duties; expresses willingness to undertake projects, support organizational goals and endeavors, and demonstrates flexibility in response to changing circumstances; maintains professional image through appropriate attitude and appearance; respects co-workers and clientele.
* **Professional Development:** Includes a training plan in the goal statement that effectively guides employee's development; keeps current with new developments in areas of responsibility; maintains and enhances overall professional expertise; participates in county, regional, state, and/or national committees and events, including professional associations; completes formal and non-formal educational opportunities.
* **Research:** Develops and/or assists in demonstration research, applied research, and surveys; analyzes data; reports results as appropriate by rank.
* **Self-Management:** Uses time effectively; meets deadlines, including submission of all reports; is punctual and reliable; gives timely responses to requests; works effectively with direction given; takes initiative; demonstrates planning and organizational skills to meet goals.
* **Volunteer Development:** Effectively identifies, screens, and selects volunteers; orients and trains volunteers to help them develop attitudes and skills necessary to effectively complete defined volunteer role expectations; provides volunteers with opportunities to put acquired knowledge and skills into action.

**Overall Evaluation**

The employee’s overall evaluation is a mathematical calculation using the weighting factors with each evaluative element and the employee’s ranking in each of those categories. The following table defines the resulting overall evaluation category:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Below Expectations | <2.5 |
| Meets Expectations | 2.5-3.4 |
| Exceeds Expectations | 3.5-4.4 |
| Significantly Exceeds Expectations | 4.5-5.0 |

**Total Points\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

What can UWE do to help you do your job better?

**Signatures**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\*Employee/Date

\*Signature indicates only that this employee review has been discussed and does not necessarily signify concurrence. A response to this review may be made on a separate attached sheet.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor/Date

**Director’s Comments:**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Director/Date